

Section I.A

		average score	agree strongly (total responses)	agree somewhat (total responses)	disagree somewhat (total responses)	disagree strongly (total responses)	no response	Total Responses
	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:							
13	The community engagement system should provide participants with the information they need to participate in a meaningful way.	1.85	702	90	9	1	45	847
15	When I offer input receiving information on the final decision is important to me.	1.83	674	120	5	1	47	847
14	The community engagement system should communicate to participants how their input affected the decision.	1.81	656	136	5	1	49	847
11	A community engagement system should seek out and facilitate the involvement of those who are potentially affected by or interested in a decision.	1.74	646	133	12	10	46	847
12	The City should seek input from participants in designing how they participate in the City's decision-making processes.	1.71	603	183	15	2	44	847
1	It is important to me that I be involved in government decision-making.	1.60	555	258	21	3	10	847
18	More voices in a decision-making process result in better decisions.	1.31	397	313	64	9	64	847
19	I can accept the outcome or final decision if I was given the opportunity to participate in the decision-making process.	1.24	351	355	65	11	65	847
2	I feel that I can influence decisions made by the City Government.	0.38	145	406	186	98	12	847
3	I understand the current organizational structure of the City.	0.23	105	398	223	99	22	847
16	The elected officials of the City usually consider my opinion.	-0.28	38	275	290	132	112	847
10	Anyone who wants to participate has access to the decision-making processes of the City.	-0.31	64	260	286	172	65	847
9	The materials and information that the City provides me on pending issues is clear complete and understandable.	-0.40	31	273	305	171	67	847
7	City staff are held accountable for their decisions.	-0.47	37	226	304	172	108	847
17	The City is truly interested in my involvement involved in the City decision-making processes.	-0.47	31	245	289	186	96	847
6	City elected officials are held accountable for their decisions.	-0.47	43	243	323	190	48	847
5	The City's decision-making processes are predictable and consistent.	-0.66	17	201	355	195	79	847
8	Communication about City decision-making is open and two way.	-0.76	22	176	348	229	72	847
4	The City's decision-making processes are clear and open.	-0.76	16	188	374	229	40	847

Notes:

- Each "Agree strongly" response is scored as 2, each "agree somewhat" is scored as 1
- Each "Disagree strongly" response is scored as -2, each "disagree somewhat" is scored as -1
- average score is calculated on weighted scores (2 for each "strongly agree", etc.)
- Ranking is based on total scores of strongly agree or somewhat agree minus total score of strongly disagree or somewhat disagree

Section I.B

	average	agree strongly	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat	disagree strongly	no response	Total Responses
20 I feel I can best influence City decisions by being involved with:							
c. My neighborhood association/organization	1.32	438	242	58	27	82	847
a. City elected officials	1.07	307	326	71	38	105	847
g. My issue-based advocacy group	0.79	162	314	93	34	244	847
b. City staff	0.58	181	328	145	65	128	847
d. My local business association/organization	0.67	172	260	118	44	253	847
j. My community development organization	0.63	162	228	89	62	306	847
h. My block club	0.52	171	246	145	60	225	847
k. Other	0.71	37	37	17	11	745	847
i. My apartment or homeowners group	0.06	101	151	155	84	356	847
e. My ethnic or cultural organization	0.01	96	159	182	83	327	847
f. My faith-based group	-0.09	98	158	181	110	300	847
21 My input to the following groups is valued and influences their decisions:							
a. City elected officials	0.11	84	311	196	103	153	847
b. City staff	-0.27	45	238	242	132	190	847
c. My neighborhood association/organization	1.25	383	246	54	33	131	847
d. My local business association/organization	0.57	130	219	98	49	351	847
e. My ethnic or cultural organization	0.36	94	170	97	56	430	847
f. My faith-based group	0.42	117	182	83	71	394	847
g. My issue-based advocacy group	0.83	165	231	73	35	343	847
h. My block club	0.81	185	212	72	46	332	847
i. My apartment or homeowners group	0.30	87	152	87	61	460	847
j. My community development organization	0.51	121	185	86	56	399	847
k. Other	0.38	22	41	15	17	752	847

Notes:

- Each "Agree strongly" response is scored as 2, each "agree somewhat" is scored as 1
- Each "Disagree strongly" response is scored as -2, each "disagree somewhat" is scored as -1
- average score is calculated on weighted scores (2 for each "strongly agree", etc.)
- Ranking is based on total scores of strongly agree or somewhat agree minus total score of strongly disagree or

Section II

	Please tell us whether you agree or disagree with these observations about the City's current community engagement system	average	agree strongly	agree somewhat	disagree somewhat	disagree strongly	no response	Total Responses
7	Planning by government jurisdictions and at the neighborhood-level should be coordinated.	1.70	567	155	20	2	103	847
4	It is important to ensure representative participation in community engagement.	1.68	575	145	21	7	99	847
6	Genuine engagement (not just input) is essential.	1.63	557	166	34	3	87	847
5	All participants in the community engagement system must be held accountable.	1.57	499	200	32	5	111	847
8	Change must occur to build trust and participation.	1.53	471	206	31	9	130	847
2	There is a lot of confusion about who has the authority to make different types of City decisions.	1.15	332	306	89	15	105	847
1	City decision-making processes are complex confusing inaccessible and hard to predict.	1.05	267	372	88	18	102	847
3	City communication is not accessible or consistent and tends to be 'one-way' communication of information.	1.04	294	304	110	16	123	847

Notes:

- Each "Agree strongly" response is scored as 2, each "agree somewhat" is scored as 1
- Each "Disagree strongly" response is scored as -2, each "disagree somewhat" is scored as -1
- average score is calculated on weighted scores (2 for each "strongly agree", etc.)
- Ranking is based on total scores of strongly agree or somewhat agree minus total score of strongly disagree or somewhat disagree

Section III (1)

What sources do you use to get information about City decisions? Please check all that apply.	total responses
Neighborhood organizations	645
Mass media (TV/radio/major newspaper)	635
Neighborhood newsletters	629
Friends and neighbors	489
Elected officials	364
City website	353
US Mail	330
Electronic e-mail	254
City employees	215
Block Clubs	211
Family	197
Government sponsored cable TV	157
Telephone system/311	132
Faith based groups	109
Ethnic and cultural organizations	72
Other (please specify)	57
Employer Groups	43

Section III (2)

What methods do you use to participate in or give your opinion to City decision-makers?	total responses
Attend meetings dealing with specific issues	597
Contact elected officials	519
Attend public hearings	460
Attend regularly scheduled neighborhood meetings	450
Get together with my neighbors	380
Go to the City website	319
Participate in blogs or e-mail groups	212
Send letters to the editor	192
Organize a block	185
Attend a City Council Committee meeting	185
Organize or participate in protests	177
Join a group	165
Write a letter to neighbors	155
Attend ethnic or cultural community meetings	110
Call the media	107
Serve on a City Board or Commission	67
Other (please specify)	53

Section IV

The following recommendations were proposed in the City Engagement report. How involved do you think the community should be in:	Very involved	Somewhat involved	Not involved	no response	Total responses:
Planning by government jurisdictions and at the neighborhood-level should be coordinated.	423	290	35	99	847
It is important to ensure representative participation in community engagement.	502	215	19	111	847
Genuine engagement (not just input) is essential.	585	145	13	104	847

Section V

The following recommendations were proposed in the City Engagement report. How involved do you think the community should be in:

Citywide Decisions such as:

- Setting the City's five-year goals and strategic plans
- Developing Citywide plans and zoning codes
- Developing Citywide projects (i.e. wireless internet access project or the 311 phone information system)

City boards and commissions	604
City appointed short-term advisory committees	479
block neighborhood or business organizations	566
cultural ethnic or issue-specific organizations	304

Community Decisions such as:

- Developing small-area multi-neighborhood or corridor plans and projects (i.e. Midtown Exchange or 35W access project)
- Approving community-specific zoning and regulations
- Approving community-specific policies or programs (i.e. those affecting youth seniors or immigrants)

City boards and commissions	478
City appointed short-term advisory committees	458
block neighborhood or business organizations	638
cultural ethnic or issue-specific organizations	390

Local Decisions such as:

- Site-specific zoning and development approvals
- Neighborhood planning activities including development and approval of Neighborhood Revitalization Program (NRP) action plans
- Approval of block or neighborhood projects or program funding

City boards and commissions	394
City appointed short-term advisory committees	335
block neighborhood or business organizations	658
cultural ethnic or issue-specific organizations	333

Section VI

What neighborhood do you live in?

Longfellow	54	Sheridan	4
Armatage	47	Waite Park	4
Lind-Bohanon	32	Wenonah	4
Shingle Creek	27	Near North Willard-Hay	4
Nokomis East	26	Bottineau	3
Victory	26	Bryant	3
Whittier	25	CARAG	3
Bryn Mawr	21	Columbia Park	3
Audubon Park	20	Fuller Tangletown	3
Webber-Camden	19	Fulton	3
McKinley	18	St. Anthony East	3
Elliot Park	17	St. Anthony West	3
Lyndale	17	Hale Page Diamond	3
Corcoran	16	Cedar-Isles-Dean	2
North Loop	15	East Calhoun	2
Prospect Park	15	Keewaydin	2
Standish Ericsson	15	Marcy-Holmes	2
Stevens Square	15	Nicollet Island/East Bank	2
East Phillips	14	Northeast	2
Cleveland	13	Field Regina Northrop	2
Ventura Village	13	Camden	1
Cedar-Riverside	12	Cooper	1
Powderhorn Park	12	Hiawatha	1
Folwell	11	Howe	1
Seward	11	Kenwood	1
Windom Park	10	Logan Park	1
Kenny	9	Marshall Terrace	1
Kingfield	9	Morris Park	1
Linden Hills	9	North Minneapolis	1
Midtown Phillips	9	Phillips	1
Bancroft	8	Uptown	1
Central	8	Weenonah	1
Harrison	8	West Calhoun	1
Jordan	8	Willard Hay	1
Hawthorne	7	Downtown East West	1
Phillips West	7		
Southeast Como	7	no answer:	126
Holland	6		
Loring Park	6		
Lowry Hill	6		
Windom	6		
East Harriet	5		
East Isles	5		
Lynnhurst	5		

Section VI (2)

In the last year, I have attended at least one:

City sponsored public hearing	407
Meeting with City staff	325
Neighborhood association/organization meeting	610
Local business association/organization meeting	175
Ethnic or cultural organization meeting	151
Faith-based group meeting	223
Block club meeting	347
Apartment or homeowners group meeting	118
Community development organization meeting	267
Issue-based advocacy group meeting	311
Other (please specify)	78

<u>How long have you lived in...</u>	<u>Mpls</u>	<u>present n'hood?</u>
less than three years	70	136
3-5 years	44	68
6-9 years	83	90
10-19 years	182	154
20-29 years	121	83
30-39 years	96	51
40-49 years	66	27
50 + years	74	23

<u>What is your age?</u>	
19-Oct	4
20-29	63
30-39	157
40-49	160
50 +	328

<u>What is your gender?</u>	
Female	316
Male	401
	717

What is your race/ethnicity?

American Indian	2	0.3%
Asian	5	0.8%
bi racial/mixed	10	1.5%
Black/African American	23	3.5%
East African	3	0.5%
Latino/Hispanic	6	0.9%
Native American	3	0.5%
unspecified/other	26	4.0%
White/European/Caucasian	580	88.1%
	658	