

MINNEAPOLIS NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION PROGRAM

LIND-BOHANON

NRP PHASE II

NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION PLAN

Legal Opinion Reference Date:	August 5, 2010
Date Adopted by the Policy Board:	August 23, 2010
Date Adopted by the City Council:	September 24, 2010
Published in Finance & Commerce:	October 2, 2010

**Lind-Bohanon
Neighborhood
Association**



“Feels like home...”



Phase II Action Plan

www.lindbohanon.org

August 5th, 2010

August 5, 2010



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Table of Contents		ii.
Executive Summary		iii-iv.
Neighborhood Description		v.-vi.
Planning Process		vii.
Housing		
Home Improvements	A.1.1.1.	1
Target Vacancies	A.1.2.1	2
Housing Coordinator	A.1.3.1	3
Problem Properties	A.1.4.1	4
Housing Information	A.1.5.1	5
MS Kingsley Commons	A.1.6.1	6
Social and Environment		
Community Gardens	B.1.1.1	7
G HAR Square	B.1.2.1	8
YEP Program	B.1.3.1	9
Sr. Activities	B.1.3.2	10
Park Hours	B.1.4.1	11
Park Facilities Upgrade	B.1.4.2	12
Rain Gardens/clean up	B.2.1.1	13
Reduce Blight	B.2.2.1	14
Crime and Safety		
Crime/Safety Info.	C.1.1.1	15
Crime/Safety Coord.	C.1.1.2	16
Neighborly Strides	C.1.1.3	17
Crime Prevention Workshop	C.1.1.4	18
COPSIRF	C.1.1.5	19
Business		
N. Lyndale Revitalization	D.1.1.1	20-21
Community Life		
Communications	E.1.1.1	22
Neighborhood Events	E.1.1.2	23
Develop the Plan	E.1.2.1	24
Implement the Plan	E.1.2.2	24
Addendums		
A. Budget		25
B. Participation Agreement		26-46
C. Phase I Review		47-60
D. Comments		61-63



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Executive Summary

Housing	\$291,834	
Home Improvements	A.1.1.1.	\$160,000
Target Vacancies	A.1.2.1	\$82,649
Housing Coordinator	A.1.3.1	\$29,185
Problem Properties	A.1.4.1	\$0
Housing Information	A.1.5.1	\$0
MS Kingsley Commons	A.1.6.1	**
		\$291,834
Social and Environment	\$ 125,078	
Community Gardens	B.1.1.1	\$20,000
GHAR Square	B.1.2.1	\$5,000
YEP Program	B.1.3.1	\$35,000
Sr. Activities	B.1.3.2	\$10,078
Park Hours	B.1.4.1	\$0
Park Facilities Upgrade	B.1.4.2	\$20,000
Rain Gardens/clean up	B.2.1.1	\$0 *
Reduce Blight	B.2.2.1	\$0
		\$90,078
Crime and Safety	S&E projects	
Crime/Safety Info.	C.1.1.1	\$0
Crime/Safety Coord.	C.1.1.2	\$30,000
Neighborly Strides	C.1.1.3	\$5,000
Crime Prevention Wrkshp	C.1.1.4	*HS\$20,000
COPSIRF	C.1.1.5	**
		\$35,000
Business	--\$0--	
N.Lyndale Revitalization	D.1.1.1	\$0*
Implementation	\$104,228	
Communications	E.1.1.1	\$24,000
Neighborhood Events	E.1.1.2	\$15,000
Develop the Plan	E.1.2.1	\$0*
Implement the Plan	E.1.2.2	\$65,228
TOTAL		\$0
GRAND TOTAL	\$521,140	\$104,228


*Rollover funds used for this strategy

**Reserve funds used for these strategies



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Executive Summary LBNA Phase II Plan



Note: A portion of Implementation is credited toward housing. Therefore, this plan meets the required 70% allocation for housing and housing related activities.



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010


Neighborhood Description

The boundaries of the Lind-Bohanon neighborhood are 53rd Avenue North on the north, Humboldt Avenue North on the west, the CP Rail on the south and the Mississippi River on the east. And for the purposes of planning, LBNA considers the Camden Industrial Area, sandwiched between Lyndale Ave N and the Mississippi River within the LBNA boundaries as a part of their adopted planning area.

According to the 2000 Census, the population of the neighborhood was 4400. Lind-Bohanon lost population from 1980 to 2000 due mostly to the Humboldt Greenway Project which removed over 100 homes and 10 businesses in the neighborhood. Between 1990 and 2000 Lind-Bohanon’s population decreased faster than Minneapolis’. The city population increased by 3.1 percent between 1980 and 2000. In comparison, Lind-Bohanon’s population decreased by 10.8 percent. Chart 1 reflects the following changes in race and ethnicity:

Chart 1: Lind-Bohanon Race/Ethnic Composition:

Lind-Bohanon: Ethnic distribution



Source: Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development with data from the U.S. Census of Population and Housing (SF1)

Lind-Bohanon’s ethnic makeup changed between 1980 and 2000. The [white](#) population in the neighborhood went from 93 percent of the total in 1980 to 60 percent in 2000, 1,980 fewer white residents. The [black](#) population increased significantly from 2 percent of the neighborhood’s population in 1980 to 17 percent in 2000, adding more than 650 residents, and the [Asian](#) population grew to 11.5 percent in the same




Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

period from a low 0.5 percent in 1980, adding 485 new residents. However, the increases of all groups could not compensate for the overall loss of population. There were 1,705 [housing units](#) in Lind-Bohanon in 2000, 234 fewer than in 1980. The number of occupied housing units in the neighborhood also declined from its 1980 level, while the number of vacant housing units saw a slight increase. In 1980, 2.1 percent of the housing stock was vacant in comparison with 3.5 percent in 2000.

The neighborhood had 1896 homeowners and renters in 1980, 1795 in 1990, and by 2000 losing over 200 homes and 10 businesses to the Humboldt Greenway project the number had dipped to 1644 occupied housing units. Chart 2.

Chart 2: Lind-Bohanon Homeownership/Housing Units



Source: Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development with data from the U.S. Census of Population and Housing (SF3)

With fewer housing units, the numbers occupied by owners and renters have steadily declined between 1980 and 2000. Still, the proportion of owner-occupied units remained higher than renter-occupied, with 81 percent owner-occupied in 1980 and 85 percent owner-occupied in 2000. Single-family homes make up 92% of the total and the remaining 8% is rental. (*Appendix A 1990-2000 Census comparison*)



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Description of the Planning Process

Date	Outcomes
June 11, 2009	Phase I Review July Open House & Vote
August 5, 2009	Participation Agreement Drafted
October 1, 2009	Participation Agreement Approved
	Recruit and Establish Steering Committee
Oct 16, 28th	Steering Committee meetings:
Oct/Nov/Dec 09/Jan 2010	Survey Developed, Distributed and Tabulation:
Oct/Nov	Survey Developed
Nov/Dec	Survey Distributed
Jan	Survey Results tabulated
Feb/Mar 2010	Review of Findings and Targeted Outreach:
Feb 11, Mar 4, Mar 16, Mar 23	
Apr/May 2010	Vision Statement:
	Apr 9, May 6
May 19, 2010	Meeting with MPRB and City Planner
June/July 2010	Develop Neighborhood Action Plan:
	June 3, June 16,
July 1, 2010	Neighborhood Comments Requested
August 3, 2010	Neighborhood Review and Approval of Phase II plan:
	Neighborhood Vote August 3 National Night Out Picnic in the Park
August 5, 2010	LBNA Board Meeting August 5
August 23, 2010	Policy Board Review



Phase II Plan
8-6-2010

A. HOUSING Total NRP Funds \$291,834

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING

1. OBJECTIVE: Improve the quality of housing stock in the Lind-Bohanon Neighborhood.

1. STRATEGY: Develop and implement housing improvement programs for both rental and owner occupied residential properties.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA Housing Coordinator and neighborhood volunteers will work with it's housing program provider to define the housing improvement needs of the neighborhood, develop programs to meet those needs, and implement the programs through widely distributed information to encourage participation.

WHY: Concerns were raised in the neighborhood-wide survey about keeping the neighborhood housing stock in good repair. The success of Phase I home improvement programs is an indicator of the neighborhood's interest in continuing the program.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Non-profit Program Administrator, DFD

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$160,000

Other Resources: Property Owner Match, Program Provider funds

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

A. HOUSING

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING
2. OBJECTIVE: Reduce the number of vacant homes in the Lind-Bohanon Neighborhood.
1. STRATEGY: Develop and implement programs to address specific properties or areas in the neighborhood that have a concentration of vacant or distressed properties.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA Housing Coordinator will work with CPED, realtors, neighborhood volunteers, and housing program provider to determine what type of program/s are needed to promote the sale of vacant property, assist home buyers of vacant property, or assist with property improvements after the home is sold.

WHY: The Lind-Bohanon neighborhood has not escaped the unprecedented foreclosure epidemic. In 2010, nearly 10% of the housing stock currently sits vacant. The neighborhood has seen an upsurge in burglaries, robberies, and property damage. Concerns were raised in the neighborhood-wide survey about this rise in crime and keeping residents investing in their properties and keeping the neighborhood housing stock in good repair.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Non-profit Program Administrator, CPED

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$82,649

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD, CPED



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

A. HOUSING

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING
3. OBJECTIVE: Assure timely, efficient implementation of the home improvement program.
1. STRATEGY: LBNA retain a Housing Coordinator to help recruit volunteers for the Housing Committee, work with the housing program provider, develop and implement the program.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA will engage staff to work as a Housing Coordinator to work with the LBNA Board, the housing program provider, and other neighborhood volunteers to develop and implement the home improvement program/s.

WHY: The success of Phase I home improvement programs is due in part to the work of neighborhood staff in the development and implementation of the home improvement programs.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Non-profit Program Administrator, DFD

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$29,185 (\$23,000 Personnel, \$6,185 printing & mailings)

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP

	2010	2011	2012	2013	Total
Personnel \$23/hr 5hrs/wk 50wks/yr	\$5750	\$5750	\$5750	\$5750	\$23,000
Non-personnel Printing/Mailing	\$1,547	\$1540	\$1546	\$1546	\$ 6,185
Total	\$7297	\$7296	\$7296	\$7296	\$29,185



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

A. HOUSING

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING
4. OBJECTIVE: Reduce urban blight caused by problem, residential properties.
1. STRATEGY: Work with Property Owners, Tenants, Inspections, Police, Council Member, and volunteers to identify and remedy properties in disrepair or littered, and properties with frequent police calls.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: The LBNA Housing Coordinator will work with City partners, property owners, tenants, and neighborhood volunteers to identify properties needing intervention to correct conditions that lead to reduced property values and disinvestment in the neighborhood. Work with rental property owners to encourage them to be part of the solution through tenant background checks and zero-tolerance lease addendums.

WHY: LBNA residents expressed concerns about both the appearance of the neighborhood and crime and safety issues. Both of these problems cause a decrease in property values and negatively impact neighborhood livability.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Property Owners, Tenants, Inspections, Police, Council Member

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: Housing Coordinator Strategy A.1.3.1.

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

A. HOUSING

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING
5. OBJECTIVE. Increase the frequency and amount of information provided to neighborhood residents regarding neighborhood housing programs, housing foreclosure prevention, foreclosure “redemption rights,” problem properties, and tenant rights,
1. STRATEGY: Utilize the neighborhood newsletter and website to provide timely information to neighborhood residents. Information provided by the City will be included in the neighborhood news.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: The Housing Coordinator will provide information for inclusion in the neighborhood newsletter and website.

WHY: The newsletter is widely distributed in the neighborhood and the website is available at all times to those with internet availability. These two media resources will help to increase the variety and timeliness of information regarding housing issues to Lind-Bohanon residents.

PARTNERS: LBNA, CPED

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: Housing Coordinator Strategy A.1.3.1. and Communications Strategy E.1.1.1

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

A. HOUSING

1. GOAL: AFFORDABLE, QUALITY, LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING
6. OBJECTIVE. Increase availability of housing for people with special needs.
1. STRATEGY: Provide funding for housing that serves people with disabilities or other special needs.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA supports the application for NRP Affordable Housing Funds for MS housing, Kingsley Commons. Common Bond Communities, in partnership with other funding sources, proposes a new building at 4550 Humboldt Avenue North with a total of 25 apartments for residents with Multiple Sclerosis.

WHY: Lind-Bohanon is committed to providing and supporting affordable, life-cycle housing options.

PARTNERS: NRP, Hennepin County, HUD, Common Bond Communities, City of Minneapolis

WHEN: 2005 to 2008

RESOURCES: NRP Affordable Housing Fund: \$200,000

Other Public Sources: HUD \$2,082,600; Hennepin County \$400,000

Total project cost: \$3,608,800

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: CPED, Multi-family Housing



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment \$125,078

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE

1. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number of community gardens and gardening participants in the Lind-Bohanon neighborhood.

1. STRATEGY: Promote and expand participation in our community gardens.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL:

A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Use the LBNA Garden Committee to promote and expand participation in our many community gardens: Lind Community Garden, Jenny Lind School and Sign gardens, and Lyndale Gateway sign. More gardens may be added to meet the needs of the community.

WHY: Community gardens promote inter-generational and inter-cultural relationships, as well as provide food, flowers and neighborhood amenities. The involvement of Hmong Elders, Lind-Bohanon residents, and students at the Jenny Lind School garden has made a positive impact on the neighborhood. Gardens throughout the neighborhood create destinations, increase volunteerism in the neighborhood, and provide healthy foods. The residents of Kingsley Commons (apartments for people with MS) requested that a garden be installed adjacent to their building on a Minneapolis Park and Recreation vacant lot.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Jenny Lind School, MN DOT, City Public Works (PW) and Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board. (MPRB).

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$20,000 (soil amendments, garden-site preparation, construction)

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD, MPRB, PW



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE

2. OBJECTIVE: Improve George Hill – Alice Rainville (GHAR) Square as a neighborhood destination.

1. STRATEGY: Enhance GHAR Square, located between N. 51st and N. 52nd Avenues on N. Lyndale Avenue, with sidewalks, benches, or other amenities to create a “walk-able, bike-able” neighborhood destination.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: ENRICHED ENVIRONMENT

HOW: Work with Hennepin County to design, and fund improvements to the site. LBNA will apply for Arts Grants, DNR Grants, or use other fund raising activities to accomplish this strategy.

WHY: The neighborhood invested \$112,163 in NRP Phase I Plan funds to plant an urban forest on a contaminated Hennepin County property. This property has been revitalized from and eye sore into a neighborhood amenity.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Jenny Lind School, MN DOT, Hennepin County.

WHEN: 2011-2013

RESOURCES: NRP: \$5,000

Hennepin County: \$TBD

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: Hennepin County



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE

3. OBJECTIVE: Expand youth activities.

1. STRATEGY: Support the Camden Youth Engagement Project, park activities for youth, Community Education, and after-school and summer programs.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Work with established program providers to develop and implement programs that are relevant to the needs and interests of neighborhood youth.

WHY: Youth need to be engaged in community life through education, recreation and social programs that value the contribution that youth make to the neighborhood.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), Minneapolis Public Schools (MPS), other Camden Area Neighborhoods

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$35,000

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: Hennepin County, DFD, MPRB, MPS



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE
3. OBJECTIVE: Expand senior activities.
2. Strategy: Increase the number of opportunities for neighborhood elders to participate in nutritional, health, social, educational, and recreational programs.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Utilize newsletter to promote senior activities. Work with Volunteers of America and other senior-serving organizations to promote companionship, meals, social services, educational, recreational, and health programs.

WHY: Neighborhood elders must travel outside the neighborhood for the services available in other areas of the City, but not close to home.

PARTNERS: LBNA, Volunteers of America, Common Bond, Minneapolis School Board (MPS), Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board, (MPRB), Hennepin County.

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$10,078

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: Hennepin County, DFD, MPRB, MPS



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE

4. OBJECTIVE: Increase park access for Lind-Bohanon residents.

1. STRATEGY: Increase the number of hours that the park shelter is open.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: ONE MINNEAPOLLIS

HOW: Work with Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB) to determine when expanded hours would best serve park users.

WHY: The Lind-Bohanon neighborhood park shelter needs to be open during times that neighborhood youth are present in the park. This will provide for additional supervision at the park as well as, availability of programming hours, restrooms, and water fountain. The park is co-located with the school, which is the place where neighborhood children gather.

PARTNERS: LBNA, MPRB,

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$0

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: MPRB



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

1. GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE

4. OBJECTIVE: Increase park access for Lind-Bohanon residents.

2. Strategy: Install improvements to the park shelter building, grounds, and equipment.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: ONE MINNEAPOLLIS

HOW: Work with the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board to upgrade the park shelter plumbing and electrical system. Perform an energy audit on the building to improve the efficiency of the building.

WHY: Lind-Bohanon neighborhood children and their parents gather at the park to use the wading pool, participate in sports, and enjoy the recreational opportunities that the park offers. The neighborhood invested \$109,860 in NRP Phase I funds to upgrade the wading pool at the park. However, the building is now in need of updating to safely meet the needs of the park users.

PARTNERS: LBNA, MPRB,

WHEN: 2011-2015

RESOURCES: Phase II \$20,000, Phase I NRP rollover, \$22,015, from 2.2.B.1 Community Center

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: MPRB



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

2. GOAL: STEWARDSHIP OF THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce storm water run off and pollution entering into our waterways—Mississippi River and Shingle Creek.

1. STRATEGY: Develop and implement pollution reduction projects such as: rainwater gardens, clean sweep activities, increased street sweeping, hazardous waste pick up site.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: ENRICHED ENVIRONMENT

HOW: The LBNA Social and Natural Environmental Committee will prioritize the needs of the neighborhood to implement those projects that can be best accomplished. Investigate other neighborhoods that have done similar projects. Investigate increased street sweeping, concentrating near the river to remove dirt and litter from streets in industrial areas. Work with Hennepin County for hazardous waste pick up site and the Sentence to Serve Program for general neighborhood cleanup. Organize a neighborhood wide clean sweep.

WHY: Concerns expressed in neighborhood wide survey regarding blighting influences, contamination, pollution and deterioration of the neighborhood's natural amenities.

PARTNERS: City of Minneapolis Public Works, Mississippi Watershed Management Organization, University of MN, Metro Blooms, other neighborhoods that have successfully implemented similar projects.

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: Phase I NRP rollover, \$20,000, from 2.2.A.1 Develop Green Places

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

B. Social and Natural Environment

2. GOAL: STEWARDSHIP OF THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
2. OBJECTIVE: Reduce blighting influences in the neighborhood.
1. STRATEGY: Work with the City to identify areas that are not mowed, not maintained, littered, or have broken sidewalks and take corrective action.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: ENRICHED ENVIRONMENT

HOW: Work with Inspections, Public Works, CP Rail, Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), Public Works (PW), business, and property owners to identify and remedy these problems. Utilize the Hennepin County Sentence to Serve Program to help clean up the neighborhood.

WHY: Blight causes degradation of the natural and social environment. It negatively impacts the quality of life in the Lind-Bohanon neighborhood.

PARTNERS: Hennepin County, Public Works, CP Rail, MPRB, PW, business and property owners.

WHEN: Ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: -0-

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD, Public Works



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

C. Crime and Safety

1. GOAL: A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT LOOKS AND FEELS SAFE
1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce “Crimes of opportunity.”
1. STRATEGY: Promote crime and safety education through the neighborhood newsletter and website.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Work with 4th Precinct, CCP/Safe specialist, for neighborhood-focused crime information.

WHY: Residents have expressed concerns about neighborhood safety based on both perception and increased crime statistics in the neighborhood.

PARTNERS: 4th Precinct, Inspector, Sector Lieutenant, Crime prevention specialist, Block Club Leaders.

WHEN: Ongoing

RESOURCES: Communications Strategy E.1.1.1

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

C. Crime and Safety

1. GOAL: A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT LOOKS AND FEELS SAFE

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce “Crimes of opportunity”

2. STRATEGY: Utilize a neighborhood Crime and Safety Coordinator to recruit block club leaders and to organize block club activities.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA will utilize staff time to assist in the recruitment of block club leaders, planning of block club events, and refer recruited leaders to block club leader trainings. The Crime and Safety coordinator will start with blocks with high vacancies and recruit new block club leaders and utilize National Night Out activities to promote and recruit block new club leaders.

WHY: Residents have expressed concerns about neighborhood safety based on both perception and increased crime statistics in the neighborhood.

PARTNERS: 4th Precinct, Inspector, Sector Lieutenant, Crime prevention specialist, Block Club Leaders, LBNA.

WHEN: 2011-ongoing

RESOURCES: NRP: \$30,000 (Personnel \$23,000, Non-personnel \$7,000)

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Personnel \$23/hr/4hrs/wk 50wks/yr	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$23,000
Non-personnel Printing, mailing, Supplies	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$7,000
Total	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$30,000



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

C. Crime and Safety

1. GOAL: A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT LOOKS AND FEELS SAFE

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce “Crimes of Opportunity.”

3. STRATEGY: Organize LBNA Walking Club, “Neighborly Strides”

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: LBNA will utilize staff time to assist in the recruitment of walking club members to promote the walking club as a healthy way to engage community and to increase the presence of neighbors in the community as a method of promoting a safe community. Help organize walkers. Establish priority routes. Train the walkers in being responsible, respectful, and safe while walking. The focus of this group is a neighborly greeting to “warm up the neighborhood”.

WHY: This is one of the healthy way to engage community. The presence of neighbors walking on their own streets helps to determine if there are undesirable activities going on. Neighbors walking want to see and to be seen.

PARTNERS: 4th Precinct, Inspector, Sector Lieutenant, Crime prevention specialist, Block Club Leaders, LBNA.

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: Crime and Safety Coordinator, Strategy C.1.1.2.
NRP: \$5,000 (totes with information in them, logo on the outside, and training materials)

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

C. Crime and Safety

1. GOAL: A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT LOOKS AND FEELS SAFE

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce “Crimes of opportunity”

4. STRATEGY: Provide Crime and Safety Workshops with reimbursement to participants who install a recommend crime and safety home improvement (lights, locks).

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Utilize 4th Precinct, CCP/Safe team, and hold 1-2 workshops a year. Attendees will receive a credit toward installation of 1 safety item within home. A reimbursement of incentive may be provided for installation of one recommended item. Reimbursement Program will be administered by LBNA.

WHY: Residents need to feel safe in their homes. Providing assistance to install safety equipment helps to increase safety of residents and is invaluable to keeping residents in their homes and in the community.

PARTNERS: 4th Precinct, Inspector, Sector Lieutenant, Crime prevention specialist, Block Club Leaders, CEE, LBNA.

WHEN: 2010-ongoing

RESOURCES: Crime and Safety Organizer, Strategy C.1.1.2.
NRP: \$20,000 (installation reimbursement program = housing \$s)

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: DFD



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

C. Crime and Safety

1. GOAL: A NEIGHBORHOOD THAT LOOKS AND FEELS SAFE

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce “Crimes of opportunity”

5. STRATEGY: Participate in the Community Oriented Public Safety Initiatives Reserve Fund (COPSIRF) program.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Work with Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) 4th Precinct and other Camden area neighborhoods to implement a crime reduction program.

WHY: The NRP set aside one million dollars for neighborhoods to partner with the Minneapolis police Depart to provide additional services that meet the needs identified by the neighborhood.

PARTNERS: 4th Precinct, Inspector, Sector Lieutenant and other Camden area neighborhoods.

WHEN: 2004

RESOURCES: NRP Phase II Reserve Fund: \$9,749.68

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: MPD



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

D. Business and North Lyndale Avenue

1. GOAL: A THRIVING, MIXED-USE NORTH LYNDALE AVENUE
- 1a. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number of jobs and centers of employment.
- 1b. OBJECTIVE: Redevelop Industrial Area through TIF or other financial incentives to attract new-technology jobs.
- 1c. OBJECTIVE: Link park trails and park facilities to the Grand Rounds across and along the avenue.
- 1d. OBJECTIVE: Create vibrant, walk-able/bike-able destinations.
- 1e. OBJECTIVE: Reduce or eliminate blighting conditions.
- 1f. OBJECTIVE: Increase investment in infrastructure – lighting, street paving, sidewalks, bike loops.
1. STRATEGY: Invest in the revitalization of North Lyndale Avenue to bring it up to 2010 expectations of a mixed-use, neighborhood friendly, main street. Investments include: streetscape elements, commercial grants or loans, and signage improvements.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: JOBS AND ECONOMIC VITALITY

HOW: Work with CPED, Planning Department and Park Board Planning during the development and implementation of plans to improve the park assets and create a modern land use plan for North Lyndale Avenue. Use Lind-Bohanon NRP funds as “seed money” to stimulate public and private investment in the area.

WHY: Lind-Bohanon used its NRP Phase I funds to create a streetscape plan, which also suggested other land uses for the area. The plan was not adopted by the City; there has been no public investment in the area. The neighborhood has expressed many concerns about the physical deterioration of the avenue and the negative first impression that it casts on the neighborhood as a whole.



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

D. Business and North Lyndale Avenue - continued

PARTNERS: City of Minneapolis CPED, Planning, Public Works, Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), Hennepin County, North Lyndale Avenue business and property owners, LBNA

WHEN: 2011-2020

RESOURCES: Phase I NRP rollover, \$3,500, from 3.1.E.1 Traffic Study
Phase I NRP rollover, \$5,000, from 4.1.A.4 Commercial Improvement Fund
Phase I NRP rollover, \$146,000, from 4.2.B.1 Lyndale Corridor Streetscape
Total rollover NRP funds \$154,500

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: CPED, Planning, MPRB, Public Works, Hennepin Co.



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

E. COMMUNITY LIFE TOTAL NRP FUNDS \$104,228

1. GOAL: STAYING CONNECTED WITH THE NEIGHBOHOOD
1. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number and types of communications and events to keep neighborhood residents, property owners and businesses connected.
1. STRATEGY: Support and expand communications with the neighborhood through newsletters, e-news, flyers, and neighborhood website.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Lind-Bohanon has an effective website, which must be kept up to date, and a regularly published neighborhood newsletter. Utilize neighborhood staff and volunteers to keep neighborhood communications timely and pertinent.

WHY: Various news formats helps to keep the issues, problems, information, and successes of the neighborhood available to the people who are most interested and affected by these communications.

PARTNERS: LBNA, North News

WHEN: 2010-on going

RESOURCES: NRP \$24,000

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

E. COMMUNITY LIFE

1. GOAL: STAYING CONNECTED WITH THE NEIGHBOHOOD
1. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number and types of communications and events to keep neighborhood residents, property owners and businesses connected.
2. STRATEGY: Support and expand neighborhood events.

RELATES TO
CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Utilize neighborhood staff and plan events that increase volunteerism and interest in the neighborhood organization and the NRP Phase II Neighborhood Action Plan.

WHY: Events help to keep the neighborhood residents, property owner, and businesses connected. When people get to know each other, they watch out for one another and help to make the neighborhood a safe place to live, work, learn and play.

PARTNERS: LBNA,

WHEN: 2010-on going

RESOURCES: NRP \$15,000

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

E. COMMUNITY LIFE

1. GOAL: STAYING CONNECTED WITH THE NEIGHBOHOOD

2. OBJECTIVE: Effectively and efficiently plan and implement the NRP Phase II Neighborhood Action Plan.

1. STRATEGY: Hire and retain neighborhood staff to develop the plan.

WHEN: 2009

RESOURCES: NRP Phase I rollover, \$30,730.68

2. STRATEGY: Hire and retain neighborhood staff to implement the plan.

RELATES TO CITY GOAL: A SAFE PLACE TO CALL HOME

HOW: Utilize neighborhood staff to recruit volunteers to help implement the plan and provide guidance and support to achieve neighborhood goals.

WHY: The careful use of staff time and assistance was important to the successful implementation of the NRP Phase I Neighborhood Action Plan.

PARTNERS: LBNA

WHEN: 2010-on going

RESOURCES: NRP \$65,228

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR: NRP



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Phase II Addendum A: Budget

Personnel		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Coordinators	\$23.00/hr x 100hr/month x12 months	\$27600	27600	27600	30000	30000
Non Personnel						
Insurance	General Liability	\$500	500	500	750	750
Supplies	Notepads , envelopes, etc	\$500	500	500	750	750
Communication						
Major printing/distribution	survey, action plan drafts, etc.	\$4,000	5000	5000	5000	5000
Advertising/promotion	Camden News, flyers, postcards, ads, etc.	\$3400	3400	3400	3400	3400
General Outreach	Copies of materials, childcare etc	\$1,746.68	2000	2100	2500	2500
	Budget total	\$30,730.68	39000	39100	42400	42400



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Phase II Addendum D. Comments

Subject: Lind Bohanon NRP Phase II Plan
Date: 6/28/10 1:58:27 P.M. Central Daylight Time
From: Pmountin@NRP.org

To: Brette.Hjelle@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, riverdistrict@minneapolisparcs.org, Joe.Horan@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, Elfric.Porte@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, Harry.Davis.Jr@co.hennepin.mn.us, Tomas.Leighton@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, Bob.Cooper@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, Brenda.Eccleston@mpls.k12.mn.us, Jeff.Schneider@ci.minneapolis.mn.us, rmiller@NRP.org

CC: Joeandjeannabor@aol.com

Sent from the Internet ([Details](#))

Dear All

A draft copy of the Lind-Bohanon NRP Phase II Neighborhood Action Plan is attached for your review and comment. Due to the size of the document, the NRP Phase II Participation Agreement and Phase I Plan Review are not attached. If you wish to see the entire plan with attachments, please let me know and I will forward it to you.

All questions and comments should be addressed to both me and to Amy Luesebrink, the LBNA staff person. Your comments will also be attached to the final plan. The neighborhood is planning to vote the plan on August 3rd. A timely response is requested with feedback due no later than July 15, 2010.

Thanks for reviewing and commenting on the plan. Your support is appreciated.

Best regards,
Peg Mountin, NRP Neighborhood Specialist



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

RE: Lind Bohanon NRP Phase II Plan

Subject:
Date: 7/14/10 10:50:12 A.M. Central Daylight Time
From: Brette.Hjelle@ci.minneapolis.mn.us
To: pmountin@nrp.org
CC: Joeandjeannabor@aol.com

Sent from the Internet ([Details](#))

Public Work's comments are in blue:

1. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number of community gardens and gardening participants in the Lind-Bohanon neighborhood.

CPED should be listed as a partner

1. OBJECTIVE: Reduce storm water run off and pollution entering into our waterways—Mississippi River and Shingle Creek.

Within the “HOW” statement, it is noted that increased street sweeping should be concentrated near the river. Pollution travels to the river from long distances via pipes also, so we suggest deleting “. . . concentrating near the river.” Public Works should also be listed as a partner.

1. GOAL: A THRIVING, MIXED-USE NORTH LYNDAL AVENUE

1a. OBJECTIVE: Increase the number of jobs and centers of employment.

1b. OBJECTIVE: Redevelop Industrial Area through TIF or other financial incentives to attract new-technology jobs.

1c. OBJECTIVE: Link park trails and park facilities to the Grand Rounds across and along the avenue.

1d. OBJECTIVE: Create vibrant, walk-able/bike-able destinations.

1e. OBJECTIVE: Reduce or eliminate blighting conditions.

1f. OBJECTIVE: Increase investment in infrastructure – lighting, street paving, sidewalks, bike loops.

1. STRATEGY: Invest in the revitalization of North Lyndal Avenue to bring it up to 2010 expectations of a mixed-use, neighborhood friendly, main street. Investments include: streetscape elements, commercial grants or loans, and signage improvements.

Note that If will require assessments to property owners. Lighting and streetscape project costs are funded via 100% assessments unless outside funding is found to buy down the costs.

Brette Hjelle
Public Works Interagency Coordinator
City of Minneapolis | 612-673-2365 – Office | 612-673-3565 - Fax



Phase II Plan
8-5-2010

Subject: RE: help with plan
Date: 6/24/10 4:21:19 P.M. Central Daylight Time
From: Bob.Cooper@ci.minneapolis.mn.us

To: pmountin@nrp.org
CC: Joeandjeannabor@aol.com

Sent from the Internet ([Details](#))

Peg and Amy:

I don't think that I would try to revise the pie chart to reflect the housing allocations. The problem is that the administrative funds essentially get double-counted (both as housing and as admin). I would just leave it as it is, perhaps with a footnote that includes the "official" housing percentage. Alternately, you could have two slices of the pie, each with two colors. The first slice would include housing and admin dollars credited to housing. The other slice would be "other" and the admin dollars credited to that. However, I think that the current pie chart is far more illustrative than the alternative.

As for Public Works, I would send the plan to Brette Hjelle. Brett is the Public Works Interagency Coordinator. His e-mail address is brette.hjelle@ci.minneapolis.mn.us.

I hope that this helps. I will take a closer look at the draft plan tomorrow.

Thanks.

Robert Cooper
Senior NRP/Citizen Participation Specialist
City of Minneapolis, Finance Department
Development Finance Division
105 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
(612) 673-5239 (612) 673-5212 (fax)

Subject: RE: help with plan
Date: 6/25/10 2:58:02 P.M. Central Daylight Time
From: Bob.Cooper@ci.minneapolis.mn.us

To: pmountin@nrp.org, Joeandjeannabor@aol.com

Sent from the Internet ([Details](#))

All:

Attached is a draft spreadsheet for the Lind-Bohanon Phase II Action Plan. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks for all of your splendid work on this.

Robert Cooper
Senior NRP/Citizen Participation Specialist
City of Minneapolis, Finance Department
Development Finance Division
105 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
(612) 673-5239 (612) 673-5212 (fax)